Developing a plant electrical energy model from historic batch scheduling data

Konrad Mulrennan¹, John Donovan¹, Russell Macpherson² and David Tormey¹

¹Precision Engineering and ManufacturingTechnology Gateway, Institute of Technology Sligo ²GlaxoSmithKline, Sligo mulrennan.konrad@itsligo.ie

Abstract: As sustainable manufacturing is of increasing interest to many batch production facilities, energy efficiencies achieved through scheduling is a desired target. To achieve these energy efficiencies the initial step is accurately modelling plant energy profiles from production schedule data. This poses a number of challenges as the data required to model plant energy is stored in a number of separate locations and does not conform to a common sampling rate or data type. Also, separating the energy consumption caused by plant production from the base load of lighting and HVAC systems is difficult unless each production process is metered adequately. This paper focuses on a methodology to deal with the complexities of data collection, tidying and modelling within a pharmaceutical batch production facility. Historical energy and scheduling data have been utilised to generate a Random Forest model for the site's energy profile. The approach incorporates data science and machine learning tools, which pose a possible solution to the problem outlined. The results from this work can feed into an overarching goal of more sustainable manufacturing processes by allowing site energy engineers to predict and better manage plant energy load.

Keywords: Energy model, production data, data science, machine learning, random forest

INTRODUCTION

In general manufacturing production processes there have been several recent publications and reviews [1, 2] in relation to energy efficient manufacturing. However, these do not transfer directly to the pharmaceutical industry. Gahm *et al.* [2] review energy efficient scheduling across the manufacturing industry.

Jiménez-González et al. [3] review green production in pharmaceutical processes in a broader sense. A significant point which they highlight, discusses the major barrier to monitoring process energy is of not having the measuring equipment in place to separate the energy usage of individual unit operations from the overall plant energy [3].

There have been a number of recent data driven approaches to modelling building energy loads using data science and machine learning techniques [4, 5]. Conventional methods, such as time series modelling and regression modelling, suffer from a lack of flexibility to adapt to the non-linear feature patterns, which are used to predict building energy demands. The data driven approaches are often preferred as they lend themselves to ease of use and adaptability.

METHODOLOGY

The available data consists of plant energy data, product codes, the manufacturing vessels and the date of production. The raw data came from several different sources which included the SAP system, LIMS system and the building energy supplier. Combining these data sets into a usable format is time consuming and poses difficulties. These include converting all of the features to the same date format and creating a sampling period which is useful for all sources. An additional time-series feature was created to identify months. This was done to split the data set by months.

Both the product codes and the manufacturing vessels are categorical variables of 27 and 8 levels respectively and are used as the input features to the model along with the time-series date of production data. The daily energy data usage is continuous and is the model response. Data was provided for the period April 2017 to December 2017. The Random Forest algorithm lends itself well to modelling continuous responses from a variety of input features [4, 5] and was the approach pursued in this work.

Random Forest Model

The Random Forest model is an ensemble of decision tree models [6]. At each split point in a tree, a random subset of size m of all available input features is created. The model then searches for the best feature and split value from within that subset to partition the data set and minimise the combined residual sum of squares (RSS). This is repeated until the default stopping criterion of 5 observations in a leaf node is reached, this is an accepted heuristic for Random Forests for regression. The mean of the observations in the final leaf nodes or terminal nodes is the prediction for each individual tree. The Random Forest prediction is the aggregation of all of the predictions from each tree in the forest.

Results

The data set was split in a ratio of 75:25 with 75% of the data set used to train the model and 25% of the data set used to test the model. The month feature was used to split the data set. A number of data splits were investigated and the 75:25 split gave the best results while also preventing the model overfitting the training data. Figure 1 presents the models predictions against the observed energy levels. Figure 2 highlights the percentage root mean squared error for training and test sets. The percentage root mean square training error was 5.38% and the error on the test set was 5.36%. The energy values on the y-axis have been scaled in Figure 1 for confidentiality reasons.



Random Forest predictions vs daily energy observations

Figure 1



% Root Mean Square Error for Random Forest plant energy model

DISCUSSION

The model suffers from a few issues which the authors plan to overcome in the next phase of work. Several products and vessels are used on the same date and are all recorded as daily inputs. As such, there is no way of accurately quantifying how much energy an individual product or vessel is using during any given day.

The next phase includes collecting climate data for the region and incorporating this information into the model. The temperature and humidity levels are expected to impact the energy demand of the plant HVAC system. This data will be investigated for correlations with the overall plant energy demand with a further aim for it to be used to improve the models performance.

Ideally, once a tested and accurate energy model has been developed and implemented, the model output can be utilised as an objective function for the planning and scheduling teams. Minimising energy consumption in this manner leads to more sustainable manufacturing processes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The North West Centre for Advanced Manufacturing (NW CAM) project is supported by the European Union's INTERREG VA Programme, managed by the Special EU Programmes Body (SEUPB). The views and opinions in this document do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission or the Special EU Programmes Body (SEUPB).

If you would like further information about NW CAM please contact the lead partner, Catalyst Inc, for details.

REFERENCES

[1] Tao Peng and Xun Xu. "Energy-efficient machining systems: A critical review". In: International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 72.9-12 (2014), pp. 1389–1406. doi: 10.1007/s00170-014-5756-0.

[2] Christian Gahm, Florian Denz, Martin Dirr, and Axel Tuma. "Energy-efficient scheduling in manufacturing companies: A review and research framework". In: European Journal of Operational Research 248.3 (2016), pp. 744–757. doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2015.07.017.

[3] Concepción Jiménez-González, David J. C. Constable, and Celia S. Ponder. "Evaluating the "Greenness" of chemical processes and products in the pharmaceutical industry—a green metrics primer". In: Chemical Society Reviews 41.4 (2012), pp. 1485–1498. doi: 10.1039/C1CS15215G.

[4] Muhammad Waseem Ahmad, Monjur Mourshed, and Yacine Rezgui. "Trees vs Neurons: Comparison be- tween random forest and ANN for high-resolution prediction of building energy consumption". In: Energy and Buildings 147 (2017), pp. 77–89. doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.04.038.

[5] Zeyu Wang, Yueren Wang, Ruochen Zeng, Ravi S Srinivasan, and Sherry Ahrentzen. "Random Forest based Hourly Building Energy Prediction". In: Energy and Buildings (2018). doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.04.008.

[6] Leo Breiman. "Random Forests". In: Machine Learning 45.1 (2001), pp. 5–32. doi: 10.1023/A:1010933404324.